

Cancer Research
The Great "search for the cure" Fraud
Lyle Loughry
September, 2009

Cancer is a 100 BILLION DOLLAR-PLUS business, and cancer research, (supposedly committed to "finding the cure"), feeds the business. A cure to this insidious condition has been known for over 100 years, but the fraud goes on. Don't be so shocked! Everything that exists does whatever it can to assure its survival.

The cancer industry is no exception. In **Naked Empress - the Great Medical Fraud**, Hans Ruesch quotes what Dr's Robert Houston and Gary Null wrote in the late 1970s, after studying the policies, activities, and assets of the major US cancer institutions. Houston and Null concluded that these institutions had become self-perpetuating organizations whose survival depended not on the state of a cure, but rather on the state of **no cure**. They wrote:

"A solution to cancer would mean the termination of research programs, the obsolescence of skills, the end of dreams of personal glory, triumph over cancer would dry up contributions to self-perpetuating charities and cut off funding from Congress. It would mortally threaten the present clinical establishments by rendering obsolete the expensive surgical, radiological and chemotherapeutic treatments in which so much money, training and equipment is invested. Such fear, however unconscious, may **result in resistance and hostility to alternative approaches** in proportion as they are therapeutically promising. **The new therapy must be disbelieved, denied, discouraged and disallowed at all costs,** regardless of actual testing results, and preferably without any testing at all."

As we shall see, this very pattern has in actuality occurred repeatedly, and almost consistently. In **Medical Demystification (M.D.) Report**, Dr. Sydney Singer writes:

"Researchers are like prostitutes. They work for grant money. If there is no money for the projects they are personally interested in, they go where there is money. Their incomes come directly from their grants, not

from the universities. And they want to please the granting source to get more grants in the future. Their careers depend on it."

Many of us who live under the capitalist economic system like to act as if the health industry is not profit driven. We ignore how big business controls our health choices. In the April 1998 issue of **AOK Magazine**, Dr. Matthias Rath sets the record straight: He writes, "Throughout the 20th century, the pharmaceutical industry has been constructed by investors, the goal being to replace effective but non-patentable natural remedies with mostly ineffective but patentable and highly profitable pharmaceutical drugs. The very nature of the pharmaceutical industry is to make money from ongoing diseases. Like other industries, the pharmaceutical industry tries to expand their market--that is, to maintain ongoing diseases and to find new diseases for their drugs. Prevention and cure of diseases damages the pharmaceutical business and the eradication of common diseases threatens its very existence."

"Therefore, the pharmaceutical industry fights the eradication of any disease at all costs. Big Pharma, itself, is the main reason why today's most widespread diseases are further expanding, including heart attacks, strokes, cancer, high blood pressure, diabetes, osteoporosis and many others. Pharmaceutical drugs are not intended to cure diseases. According to health insurers, over 24,000 pharmaceutical drugs are currently marketed and prescribed without any proven therapeutic value."

According to medical doctors' associations, the known dangerous side-effects of pharmaceutical drugs have become the fourth leading cause of death after heart attacks, cancer and strokes. (**Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)**, April 15, 1998)

Millions of people and patients around the world are defrauded twice. A major portion of their income is used up to finance the exploding profits of the pharmaceutical industry. In return, they are offered a product that doesn't even cure.

Tens of BILLIONS of dollars have been raised and spent on cancer research, early diagnosis, attempted prophylaxis, the incredible resources of surgery, the revolutionary advances in the physics of radiation, the development and evolution of toxic chemotherapy (excellent poisons that, in virulence, put the medics to shame), and the

most advanced hospitals in the world. Yet, at this very moment, more people of all ages are dying from cancer than at any other time or place in the history of the human race! Something is wrong with this picture!

In the May 6, 1986 edition of the prestigious **New England Journal of Medicine**, a study titled, "***Progress Against Cancer***," authored by Bailar of Harvard and Smith of the University of Iowa concluded, In the past 50 years, there has been no progress in the treatment of cancer, and the billions of dollars spent on cancer research over the past 50 years have been totally wasted. Alas, in the last 20 years (1966-1986) the death rate has increased substantially, because patients now die from the treatment, in addition to the condition.

In 1987, Dr. Dana Levi of the University of California wrote, "Most cancer patients in this country die from the chemotherapy--it does not eliminate breast cancer, colon or lung cancer--women with breast cancer are likely to die faster with chemotherapy than without it."

According to Dr. Julian Whitaker, MD, one of America's most trusted and respected authors, researchers, and personal physician, "Cancer has reached epidemic proportions, especially among people over 50. Every 45 seconds, someone dies of cancer--over 500,000 every year. Truth be told, we're losing ground." In an article he wrote in 1999, he pointed out that the five-year survival rate for people who have received conventional cancer treatments has remained the same since 1950, nearly 50 years. DR. Whitaker states the reason this is so is that, "For nearly 50 years, our weapons for fighting cancer have remained the same: surgery, a variety of cellular poisonings called 'chemotherapy,' plus a host of techniques for blasting the body with toxic radiation."

DR. Whitaker further states, "Never in the history of medicine have such toxic therapies been so widely used with such futility. These 'cut, burn and poison' treatments have been *pitifully ineffective* for one simple reason: they presume the body's own God-given immune system cannot be galvanized to heal itself. This approach is an abject failure, and it's time we went back to the drawing board."

While on one hand, the Medical Establishment is nearly unanimous in stating that nobody knows what *causes* cancer, so how can they be expected to know a *cure*, according to the **International Agency for**

Research in Cancer: "80-90 per cent of human cancer is determined environmentally and thus theoretically avoidable. Lifestyle factors such as smoking; a diet high in animal products and low in fresh fruit and vegetables; food additives and preservatives; alcohol; workplace hazards; air and water pollution; electromagnetic radiation; microwave radiation; and certain pharmaceutical drugs and medical procedures are well known environmental causes of cancer."

Furthermore, In his book, '**Naked Empress - the Great Medical Fraud,**' medical historian Hans Ruesch wrote: "Despite the general recognition that 85 per cent of all cancers is caused by environmental influences, less than 10 per cent of the US National Cancer Institute budget is given to environmental causes. And despite the recognition that the majority of environmental causes are linked to nutrition, less than 1 per cent of the National Cancer Institute budget is devoted to nutrition studies. And even that small amount had to be forced on the Institute by a special amendment of the National Cancer Act in 1974."

I am aware of dozens of progressive medical doctors that have come to believe in battling cancer the same way they battle arthritis, heart disease, high blood pressure and other maladies. Instead of destroying the immune system's power to heal, they harness it by incorporating changes in lifestyle and diet, and utilizing powerful nutritional supplementation, in other words, fitting the body for battle.

It's now pretty well accepted that the often-referred-to "*spontaneous remission*" among cancer patients is nothing more than a return of a healthy immune system. There is virtually no hope of defending against or permanent recovery from cancer without the benefit of a healthy immune system. For the remission to become permanent, it is absolutely necessary to maintain a vibrant immune function, and to remove the *cause(s)* of the cancer condition.

Unlike the general Medical Establishment, the progressive **Cancer Treatment Centers of America** have taken this philosophy to a new level. They understand that cancer affects all aspects of our life. They deliver a comprehensive care plan to support the body, mind and spirit. They combine the best of long-established conventional cancer treatments, combined with sophisticated complimentary medicine therapies, and treat the whole person, not just the disease. At each CTCA cancer

hospital, they provide the latest technologies and cancer treatments, including surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, but also pain management, nutrition therapy, naturopathic medicine, oncology rehabilitation, mind-body medicine, and spiritual support—all in one location. I hope that's a sign of things to come. If I developed cancer, and couldn't deal with it, successfully, on my own, I would want to go to a facility like this.

Unfortunately, the more typical response from the cancer industry is one that is much more recent, 2007, to be exact, and its very telling.

That year, exciting new research conducted at the **Creighton University School of Medicine** in Nebraska revealed that supplementing with vitamin D and calcium can **reduce your risk of cancer by an astonishing 77 percent**. This includes breast cancer, colon cancer, skin cancer and other forms of cancer. This research provides strong new evidence that vitamin D is the single most effective medicine against cancer, **far outpacing the benefits of any cancer drug known to modern science.**

The study involved 1,179 healthy women from rural Nebraska. One group of women was given calcium (around 1500 mg daily) and vitamin D (1100 IU daily) while another group was given placebo. Over four year, the group receiving the calcium and vitamin D supplements showed a 60 percent decrease in cancers.

Considering just the last three years of that study revealed an impressive 77 percent reduction in cancer due to supplementation. Note that these astonishing effects were achieved on what many nutritionists consider to be a low dose of vitamin D. Exposure to sunlight, which creates even more vitamin D in the body, was not tested or considered, and the quality of the calcium supplements was likely not as high as it could have been (it was probably calcium carbonate and not higher-grade calcium malate, aspartate or similar forms of *inorganic* calcium, and certainly not liquid, ionic, *organic* calcium, the only calcium supplement we would personally use).

What does all this mean? It means that if you take high-quality calcium supplements and get lots of natural sunlight exposure or take supplements with naturally occurring vitamin D-3 (such as those made from pure, Wild Alaskan Sockeye Salmon oil softgels), you could easily

have a **greater reduction than the 77 percent** recorded in this study. How did the cancer industry respond to this wonderful news?

An ACS spokesperson, Marji McCullough, Strategic Director of Nutritional Epidemiology for the American Cancer Society, flatly stated that nobody should take supplements to prevent cancer.

If it seems surprising to you that the **American Cancer Society** -- which claims to be against cancer -- would dissuade people from taking supplements that slash their cancer risk by 77 percent, then you don't know much about the ACS. Many believe that the ACS is an organization that actually prevents prevention and openly supports the continuation of cancer as a way to boost its power and profits. The ACS is the wealthiest nonprofit in America and has very close ties to pharmaceutical companies, mammography equipment companies and other corporations that profit from cancer. Notice the name, too: It isn't the American Anticancer Society, it's the American Cancer Society! What they really stand for is right there in the name!

I could go on and on, and on but I think you get my point. I have great respect for cancer survivors and others who pour time and energy into raising funds for cancer research, but the next time you are tempted to walk or run or to give to some "find the cure" event sponsored by Susan G. Komen or some other fundraising organization to fight cancer, at least think consider this information. Not that there aren't sincere, well-intentioned people, working in and out of this effort, but I believe a thoroughly dishonest, unscrupulous industry is using these wonderful, well-intentioned ladies (and men), for their own financial interest, not in the interest of "finding a cure," a cure that I know already exists, and has for a hundred years.

Personally, I feel better when I donate to one of the many wonderful charitable organizations in which I have the utmost confidence, and know of the good they do: groups like The Salvation Army, the Christian Appalachian Project, Running Strong for American Indian Youth, Feeding America (formerly America's Second Harvest), Food For The Poor Inc., Disabled American Veterans, Wounded Warrior Project, Haven of Rest Ministries (Akron), and the Ron Hutchcraft Ministries, specifically their **On Eagles Wings** ministry to American Indian youth, and many others, I'm

sure. In these charitable organizations, 90% to 98% of every dollar they receive goes to serve the poor, hungry, disadvantaged, and disabled people of the world, primarily here in America.

I invite you to contact me for additional information at ultrahealth@cs.com or **800-829-9913**. To your very best health. *Lyle*